

August 28, 2006

A-570-504  
Scope Review  
**PUBLIC DOCUMENT**  
AD/CVD Ops 7: LMK

By Electronic Mail Notification

To All Interested Parties:

On June 30, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a request from Kohl's Department Stores, Inc. (Kohl's) for a scope ruling on whether its "Santa Head" candle, model number L30169, is covered by the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the People's Republic of China (PRC).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(k)(1), the Department has determined that the Kohl's "Santa Head" candle is within the scope of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the PRC.

Enclosed is a memorandum containing the Department's analysis. We will notify U.S. Customs and Border Protection of this decision. If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned at (202) 482-0649.

Sincerely,

Robert James  
Program Manager  
Operations Office 7  
Import Administration

Enclosure

MEMORANDUM FOR: Stephen Claeys  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
for Import Administration

FROM: Richard Weible  
Office Director  
AD/CVD Operations, Office VII

SUBJECT: Final Scope Ruling: Antidumping Duty Order on Petroleum Wax  
Candles From the People's Republic of China (A-570-504);  
Kohl's Department Stores, Inc.

### Summary

On June 30, 2006,<sup>1</sup> the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a request from Kohl's Department Stores, Inc. (Kohl's) for a scope ruling to determine whether its "Santa Head" candle, item number L30169, is included within the scope of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the People's Republic of China (PRC). *See Antidumping Duty Order: Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China*, 51 FR 30686 (August 28, 1986) (*Order*). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(k)(1), the Department finds Kohl's "Santa Head" candle is within the scope of the *Order*.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Kohl's request is dated June 26, 2006. However, it was received and date stamped by the Department's Central Records Unit on June 30<sup>th</sup>. *See* 19 CFR 351.103(a) ("no document will be considered as having been received by the Secretary unless it is submitted to the Import Administration Dockets Center in Room 1870 and stamped with the date and time of receipt.").

<sup>2</sup> The Department has developed an internet website that allows interested parties to access prior scope determinations regarding the *Order*. This website lists all scope determinations from 1991 to the present. It can be accessed at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/download/candles-prc-scope/index.html>, and will be updated periodically to include newly issued scope determinations.

## Kohl's Scope Request

Kohl's offers two grounds for excluding its "Santa Head" candle from the *Order*. First, Kohl's argues that pursuant to 19 CFR 351.225(k)(1) and the exception for novelty candles described in a September 21, 1987 Customs Information Exchange instruction sent to the U.S. Customs Service (Customs Notice),<sup>3</sup> its "Santa Head" candle is not included within the scope of the *Order* because it is a Christmas novelty candle. Kohl's further argues the "Santa Head" candle is shaped in the form of an identifiable object, another basis for excluding a candle under the "novelty candle" exception. Kohl's provided a sample of the candle for the Department's review.

Kohl's describes the "Santa Head" candle, designated item number L30169, as being made of 100 percent paraffin wax,<sup>4</sup> and measuring 4½ inches in height, 7 inches in diameter at its bottom, and 5½ inches in diameter at its top. According to Kohl's, "[t]he candle is sculpted and painted to look like Santa's face, with beard and hair and the rim of his hat at the top." Kohl's claims the top of the candle represents the rim of a hat, adorned with holly leaves and berries. The requestor notes the top of the candle is recessed 1½ inches, and includes three wicks across the top. According to Kohl's, this candle is classified under *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* item number 3406.00.0000 (the HTS item number for both subject and non-subject candles). *See* Kohl's Request.

Kohl's cites the Customs Notice which describes various exceptions for holiday and novelty candles (*see* "Legal Framework," below). Kohl's argues that consistent with the Customs Notice, the "Santa Head" candle "possesses characteristics that are uniquely associated with the Christmas holiday." Kohl's further argues the candle will be advertised and sold only during the Christmas season. Kohl's also argues the "Santa Head" candle "is in the shape of an identifiable object and is an image traditionally associated with the Christmas holiday." *See* Kohl's Request. For these reasons, Kohl's concludes, the candle is outside the scope of the *Order*.

Petitioner, the National Candle Association, did not comment on this scope request.

---

<sup>3</sup> In the Customs Information Exchange referenced by Kohl's, the Department described a narrowly limited exception to the scope of the order for so-called "novelty candles," including figurine candles, candles shaped in the form of identifiable objects, and candles specifically designed for and used only in connection with the holiday season. *See* Customs Information Exchange, CIE N212/85, dated September 21, 1987 (Customs Notice). We note the Customs Notice contains the same language concerning the novelty candle exception as an earlier communication between the Department and counsel for a candle importer. *See* Letter from the Director, Office of Compliance, to the law firm of Burditt, Bowles & Radzius, Ltd., July 13, 1987.

<sup>4</sup> Paraffin wax is produced from distillates of crude petroleum. *See* Memorandum to the File, "Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China (A-570-504); Paraffin Wax," dated August 18, 2006. *See also*, Memorandum to the File, "Shell Wax Terms," dated January 11, 2005, which defines various types of petroleum waxes for the "Final Scope Determination of the Antidumping Duty Order: Pei Eichel" (February 8, 2005); *and* "Final Affirmative Scope Ruling - Antidumping Duty Order on Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China (A-570-504); Polardreams International, Inc." (May 6, 1998).

## Legal Framework

The regulations governing the Department's antidumping scope determinations are found at 19 CFR 351.225. On matters concerning the scope of an antidumping duty order, the Department first examines the descriptions of the merchandise contained in the petition, the initial investigation, and the determinations of the Secretary (including prior scope determinations) and the U.S. International Trade Commission (the Commission). This determination may take place with or without a formal inquiry. If the Department determines these descriptions are dispositive of the matter, the Department will issue a final scope ruling as to whether or not the merchandise is covered by the order. *See* 19 CFR 351.225(k)(1).

Conversely, where the descriptions of the merchandise are *not* dispositive, the Department will consider the five additional factors set forth at 19 CFR 351.225(k)(2). These criteria are: (1) the physical characteristics of the merchandise; (2) the expectations of the ultimate purchasers; (3) the ultimate use of the product; (4) the channels of trade in which the product is sold; and (5) the manner in which the product is advertised and displayed. The determination as to which analytical framework is most appropriate in any given scope inquiry is made on a case-by-case basis after consideration of all evidence before the Department.

In the instant case, the Department has evaluated Kohl's request in accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(k)(1) and finds the descriptions of the products contained in the petition, the initial investigation, and the determinations of the Secretary (including prior scope determinations) and the Commission are dispositive with respect to Kohl's "Santa Head" candle. Therefore, for this candle the Department finds it unnecessary to consider the additional factors set forth at 19 CFR 351.225(k)(2).

Documents and parts thereof from the underlying investigation that the Department deemed relevant to this scope ruling were made part of the record of this determination and are referenced herein. Documents neither the Department nor the parties placed on the record do not constitute part of the administrative record for this scope determination.

In its original petition of September 4, 1985, the NCA requested that the investigation cover:

[c]andles [which] are made from petroleum wax and contain fiber or paper-cored wicks. They are sold in the following shapes: tapers, spirals, and straight-sided dinner candles; rounds, columns, pillars; votives; and various wax-filled containers. These candles may be scented or unscented . . . and are generally used by retail consumers in the home or yard for decorative or lighting purposes.

*See* Antidumping Petition (September 4, 1985) at 7.

The Department defined the scope of the investigation in its notice of initiation. This scope language carried forward without change through the preliminary and final determinations of sales at less than fair value and the eventual antidumping duty order:

[c]ertain scented or unscented petroleum wax candles made from petroleum wax and having fiber or paper-cored wicks. They are sold in the following shapes: tapers, spirals, and straight-sided dinner candles; rounds, columns, pillars, votives; and various wax-filled containers.

*See Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation*, 50 FR 39743 (September 30, 1985); *Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 51 FR 6016 (February 19, 1986); *Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 51 FR 25085 (July 10, 1986) and the *Order*.

The Commission adopted a similar definition of the "like product" subject to its determinations, noting the investigations did not include "birthday, birthday numeral and figurine type candles." *See Candles from the People's Republic of China: Determination of the Commission in Investigation No. 731-TA-282 (Final)*, USITC Publication 1888 (August 1986) at 4, note 5, and A-2.

Also of relevance to the present scope inquiry is the Department's Customs Notice referenced in footnote 3, above, issued in connection with a July 1987 scope determination concerning an exception from the *Order* for novelty candles, which states:

The Department of Commerce has determined that certain novelty candles, such as Christmas novelty candles, are not within the scope of the antidumping duty order on petroleum-wax candles from the People's Republic of China (PRC). Christmas novelty candles are candles specially designed for use only in connection with the Christmas holiday season. This use is clearly indicated by Christmas scenes and symbols depicted in the candle design. Other novelty candles not within the scope of the order include candles having scenes or symbols of other occasions (e.g., religious holidays or special events) depicted in their designs, figurine candles, and candles shaped in the form of identifiable objects (e.g., animals or numerals).

*See* CIE N212/85, September 21, 1987; *see also* Letter from the Director, Office of Compliance, to Burditt, Bowles & Radzius, Ltd., July 13, 1987 (Customs Notice).

In November 2001, the Department changed its practice on the issue of candle shapes. *See* "Final Scope Ruling: Antidumping Duty Order on Petroleum Wax Candles From the People's Republic of China (A-570-504); J.C. Penney Purchasing Corp." (November 9, 2001) (*J.C. Penney*). In this ruling, the Department reviewed the text of the scope of the *Order*,

beginning with the text of the first sentence of the scope which covers “[c]ertain scented or unscented petroleum wax candles made from petroleum wax and having fiber or paper-cored wicks.” See *Order*. The text following this broad inclusive sentence provides a list of shapes; this list is not modified by any express words of exclusivity. The result of our prior practice of not including within the scope of the *Order* candles of a shape other than those specifically listed in the *Order* was inconsistent with the fact that the candles were “scented or unscented petroleum wax candles made from petroleum wax and having fiber or paper-cored wicks.”<sup>5</sup> In *J.C. Penney* the Department determined to revise this practice because it had the effect of narrowing the broad coverage of the first sentence of the *Order*’s scope. The list of shapes in the second sentence of the *Order*’s scope does not provide a textual basis for such a narrowing of the coverage of the first sentence of the *Order*’s scope. Accordingly, to give full effect to the first sentence of the inclusive language of the scope, the Department now will normally evaluate whether candles of a shape not listed by the inclusive language of the *Order*’s scope are scented or unscented petroleum wax candles made from petroleum wax and having fiber or paper-cored wicks.

This approach of evaluating such candles in light of the entire text of the *Order*’s scope is in keeping with the opinion of the Court of International Trade, noting that a better approach in scope rulings is to avoid subjective issues of intent and, instead, look to the petition’s language to determine whether the class or kind of merchandise at issue was expressly included. *Duferco Steel, Inc. v. United States*, 146 F. Supp. 2d 913 (May 29, 2001) (*Duferco Steel*). Such an approach is a departure from past CIT precedent that required the Department to give ample deference to the petitioner’s intent when examining a petition’s description of the subject merchandise. See, e.g., *Torrington Co. v. United States*, 995 F. Supp. 117, 121 (CIT 1998).

Although the specific scope decision in *Duferco Steel* has been overturned by the United States Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit) in *Duferco Steel, Inc. v. United States*, 296 F.3d 1087 (Fed. Cir. 2002) (*Duferco Steel II*), we do not believe the Federal Circuit’s decision undermines the Department’s decision in *J.C. Penney*. The plain language of the scope of the *Order* clearly states “[c]ertain scented or unscented petroleum wax candles made from petroleum wax and having fiber or paper-cored wicks . . . sold in the following shapes: tapers, spirals, and straight-sided dinner candles; rounds, columns, pillars, votives; and various wax-filled containers” are included within the scope of the *Order*. Thus, the *Order* offers a descriptive list of the shapes of candles included within the *Order*, but, as the courts have recognized, there is no requirement that every single product covered must be identified in the scope. More specifically, the Federal Circuit has stated “the petitions that led to the issuance of the order did not need to specifically identify the [product] in order to cover [it]; our precedent, to say nothing of the regulations, makes clear that neither a petition nor an antidumping or

---

<sup>5</sup> See, e.g., “Final Scope Ruling: Antidumping Duty Order on Petroleum Wax Candles From the People’s Republic of China (A-570-504); Endar Corp.” (January 11, 2000) (*Endar*) (where the Department found a “dragonfly” candle, in the shape of a rough-hewn stone with a dragonfly carved on top, not within scope because it is of a shape not listed by the scope), and “Final Scope Ruling: Antidumping Duty Order on Petroleum Wax Candles From the People’s Republic of China (A-570-504); American Drug Stores, Inc.” (March 16, 1998) (where the Department found a sphere or ball-shaped candle not within scope because it is a shape not listed by the scope).

countervailing duty order requires that level of specificity.”<sup>6</sup> The Federal Circuit further stated “[a]s a matter of law, a petition need not list the entire universe of products . . . in order [for the petition] to cover those products.”<sup>7</sup> Thus, as applied to this *Order*, there is no requirement, nor is it possible, for all the shapes of candles to be listed.<sup>8</sup> In fact, if the list were exhaustive, there would have been no need for the Department to determine whether any other candle that was not explicitly listed as a shape was in the scope of the *Order*. However, the Department did render the novelty candle exception narrowly, leaving all other petroleum wax candles from the PRC covered by the *Order*.

If the Department determines the candle is made from petroleum wax and has a fiber or paper-cored wick, but the candle possesses characteristics set out in the Customs Notice, it will not fall within the scope of the *Order*. In order for a candle to qualify for this exception, the characteristic which is claimed to render it a novelty candle (*i.e.*, the shape of an identifiable object or a holiday-specific design) should be easily recognizable in order for the candle to merit not being included within the scope of the *Order*. Specifically, among other determining factors, the Department will examine whether the characteristic is identifiable from most angles and whether or not it is minimally decorative, *e.g.*, small and/or singularly placed on the candle. If the identifiable object or holiday-specific design is not identifiable from most angles, or if the design or characteristic is minimally decorative, the Department may determine the candle is included within the scope of the *Order*. See *J.C. Penney*; see also “Final Scope Ruling: Antidumping Duty Order on Petroleum Wax Candles From the People’s Republic of China (A-570-504); San Francisco Candle Co.” (February 12, 2001) (*San Francisco Candle Company*); and *Endar*. If a candle does not possess the characteristics set out in the July 1987 novelty candle exception, and it is a scented or unscented candle having fiber or paper-cored wick, the Department will determine that the candle is within the scope of the *Order*.

## Analysis

Our examination of the sample candle provided by Kohl’s shows the candle as described by Kohl’s in its submission. The entire surface of the candle is decorated with a glitter finish reminiscent, presumably, of frost. The face design includes a white beard and mustache, with a cluster of three holly leaves and berries affixed above the right eye on the candle’s face. The sides and back of the candle feature overlapping white lobes, intended to approximate locks of white hair.

---

<sup>6</sup> *Novosteel SA v. United States*, 284 F.3d 1261, 1264 (Fed. Cir. 2002).

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

<sup>8</sup> See *Petroleum Wax Candles from China, Investigation No. 731-TA-282 (Review)* (August 1999) (USITC Pub. No. 3226), at 18 (“Candles come in a wide variety of shapes and sizes. Major U.S. candle manufacturers reportedly will offer 1,000 to 2,000 varieties of candles in their product lines.”).

After reviewing the scope of the *Order*, the determinations of the Commission, and our past scope rulings, we find this candle does not meet the standards to be excluded from the scope of the *Order* pursuant to the novelty candle exception. *See* the Customs Notice. Accordingly, we determine that Kohl’s “Santa Head” candle is within the scope of *Order*.

As described under the “Legal Framework,” above, when analyzing a claim that a candle is a Christmas novelty or an identifiable object, the Department examines whether the identifiable object or holiday-specific design is identifiable from multiple angles, or whether the design or characteristic is minimally decorative. If the design is not discernible from multiple angles, or if it is determined to be minimally decorative, the Department will find the candle is included within the scope of the *Order*. *See J.C. Penney, San Francisco Candle Company, and Endar*.

With respect to the “Santa’s Head” candle, we find two recent scope decisions are relevant. In a December 2004 scope ruling we found a “Santa Ornament” candle, a spherical candle with a Santa and sleigh graphic on one side, covered by the *Order*. We determined that nothing in the shape of the candle (*i.e.*, a sphere) would merit a finding that it is not included within the scope of the *Order*. We also found the alleged Christmas designs insufficient to exclude the candle because of the crudeness of the Santa and sleigh design and because the design was only visible on one side:

. . . the Santa Claus image is only visible from one angle (*i.e.*, the front) (see [*San Francisco Candle Company*]). The rest of the candle, which includes images of a snowman, snow and trees, does not contain any item that the Department has previously determined to be specific to the Christmas holiday. Thus, the Department finds that this candle does not fall within the July 1987 novelty candle exception. Therefore, for the aforementioned reasons, we find that this candle is within the scope of the *Order*.

*See* “Final Scope Ruling: Antidumping Duty Order on Petroleum Wax Candles from the People’s Republic of China (A-570-504); Atico International USA, Inc. (December 14, 2004) (*Atico International*) at 10.<sup>9</sup>

Similarly, in a ruling on behalf of Specialty Merchandise Corp, we found a candle set comprising three block candles with “J”, “O”, and “Y” on one side and “Merry XMas” on another was covered by the *Order*, because the letters in isolation did not limit the candle’s use to any particular holiday season, and the lettering and “Merry XMas” were not visible from multiple angles. *See* “Final Scope Ruling: Antidumping Duty Order on Petroleum Wax Candles from the People’s Republic of China (A-570-504); Coopersmith, Inc., on behalf of Specialty Merchandise Corp.” (January 14, 2005) (*Specialty Merchandise*) at 7.

---

<sup>9</sup> Note the phrase “the July 1987 novelty candle exclusion” is a reference to the same scope clarification detailed in the Customs Notice previously cited.



We find Kohl's "Santa Head" candle does not qualify for the holiday exception because it neither represents a holiday design nor an identifiable object when viewed from multiple angles. While the candle design when viewed from the front may suggest a Santa Claus face, our practice is to examine whether the purported holiday design features or identifiable object is discernible from multiple angles. See *J.C. Penney, San Francisco Candle Company; Endar; Specialty Merchandise*; and *Atico International*. Kohl's "Santa Head" candle when viewed from the top, back and at least one side lacks any distinguishing features which would indicate the candle was "specially designed for use *only in connection with the Christmas holiday season.*" See Customs Notice (emphasis added).

In addition, we find that these same design limitations preclude any finding that the candle is in the shape of an identifiable object. While the front view of this candle may evoke Santa Claus, when the candle is viewed from other angles, including the top, back and side, it is impossible to identify the candle as representing the venerable Christmas figure. Therefore, we find that the "Santa Head" candle is not an identifiable object when viewed from multiple angles and is, therefore, within the scope of the *Order*.

### **Recommendation**

Based on the preceding analysis, we recommend the Department find that Kohl's "Santa Head" candle, Kohl's item number L30169, is within the scope of the *Order*. If you agree, we will send the attached letter to the interested parties, and will notify U.S. Customs and Border Protection of our determination.

\_\_\_\_\_ Agree

\_\_\_\_\_ Disagree

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen J. Claeys  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
for Import Administration

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**Kohls Santa Head Product Samples**

**Santa Head**



**Top**



**Front**



**Left**



**Right**



**Back**